



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/590,491	06/09/2000	Robert M. English	103.1032.02	2502

22883 7590 11/20/2003  
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC  
P.O. BOX 390013  
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013

EXAMINER
----------

SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELM I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/590,491

Applicant(s)

ENGLISH, ROBERT M.

Examiner

Salad E Abdullahi

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2157

***Response to Amendment***

1. The Amendment filed on 08/29/2003 has been entered and made of record.
2. This application has been reviewed. Claims 1-29 are pending. The rejection cited stated below.
3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons:

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., single statistically-allocated thread) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that in Guedalia, the dynamically-allocated threads are not simulated thread. Examiner, respectfully disagrees and would like to point out another section of the reference where it shows the threads are simulated threads (see col. 3, lines 61 to col. 3, line 7).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

Art Unit: 2157

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guedalia et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,535,878.

As per claims 1, and 7, Guedalia et al., disclose a system for implementing multiple thread pools including:

simulating plurality of dynamically allocated thread (see col. 3, line 61 to col. 4, line 7, and col. 21, lines 5-65).

maintaining state information (wait state or active state) of the dynamically allocated thread (see col. 11, lines 1-37).

In considering claims 2 and 8, Guedalia et al., disclose a system, further comprising maintaining a routine capable of waite state or idle of being re-entered (see col. 19, lines 40-60).

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claims 3 and 9. Generating set of entry points in response of or more programming macros is inherent to the system of Guedalia et al.,.

In considering claims 4-6, 10-11, 16-17, and 22-23, Guedalia et al., disclose maintaining high concurrence among threads without maintaining a substantial amount of state information of the simulated threads (see col. 10, line 59 to col. 11, line 40 and col. 19, line 50 to col. 20, line 41).

In considering claims 12, and 18, Guedalia et al., disclose a system, wherein the plurality of dynamically- allocated thread are simulated using statistically allocated threads under an operating system (see col. 3, line 61 to col. 4, line 7, and col. 21, lines 5-65).

Claims 13 and 15, and 19 and 21, Guedalia et al., discloses a system further comprising scheduler (watchdog monitor or a management software ) for managing the dynamically allocated threads (see col. 13-67).

In considering claims 14, 20, 25, and 28, Guedalia et al., discloses a system wherein the threads blocks are stored in a queue (see col. 13-67).

In considering claims 24, 26, 27, and 29. The claims include features discussed above with respect to claim 1, further reciting utilizing an scheduler for scheduling threads (watchdog

Art Unit: 2157

monitor or a management software ) for managing the dynamically allocated threads (see col. 13-67).

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

#### **CONCLUSION**

7. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicants disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abdullahi E. Salad** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8441**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from **8:30 AM to 5:00 PM**.

Application/Control Number: 09/590,491

Page 6

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Etienne, Ario** can be reached at **(703)308-7562**. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is **(703)305-3900**.

**Any response to this action should mailed to:**

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks


Washington, DC 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) (872-9306)**

**As**

11/15/2003

  
**ARIO ETIENNE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**